arXiv:1211.7023v1 [math.AG] 29 Nov 2012

DERIVED ALGEBRAIC BORDISM

PARKER LOWREY AND TIMO SCHÜRG

Abstract. We study virtual fundamental classes as orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms of derived schemes. To study these orientations, we introduce Borel–Moore functors on quasi-projective derived schemes that have pull-backs for quasi-smooth morphisms. We construct the universal example of such a theory: derived algebraic bordism. We show quasi-smooth pull-backs exist for algebraic bordism, the theory developed by Levine and Morel and obtain a natural transformation from algebraic bordism to derived algebraic bordism. We then prove a Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch type result about the compatibility of pull-backs in both theories. As a consequence we obtain an algebraic version of Spivak's theorem, stating that algebraic bordism and derived algebraic bordism are in fact isomorphic.

1. Introduction

Ever since their introduction by Li–Tian [LT98] and Behrend–Fantechi [BF97], virtual fundamental classes have been a huge success in enumerative geometry. Nevertheless, it is difficult to prove functoriality results about these classes, see the results of Kim–Kresch–Pantev[KKP03] and Manolache [Man12]. This difficulty is a consequence of virtual fundamental classes relying on a choice of obstruction theory; an additional structure that is not intrinsic to the underlying scheme.

This non-functoriality can be fixed using derived algebraic geometry developed by Toën–Vezzosi [TV08] and Lurie [Lur11b]. Every derived scheme immediately induces a canonical obstruction theory on its underlying classical scheme. This obstruction theory is simply the cotangent complex of the derived scheme. Since the cotangent complex is functorial, every map of derived schemes induces a corresponding map between the induced obstruction theories on the underlying classical schemes.

To obtain virtual fundamental classes a finiteness condition must be imposed on the obstruction theory. The corresponding property for derived schemes is being quasi-smooth. Following Manolache [Man12], we obtain virtual fundamental classes through *homological orientations* for quasi-smooth derived schemes. Usually, a homology theory is covariant and degree-preserving on proper morphisms. An orientation for $f\colon X \to Y$ of relative dimension d in some homology theory A_* is the data of a contra-variant, degree-shifting morphism

$$f^*\colon A_*(Y) \longrightarrow A_{*+d}(X).$$

These morphisms are often referred to as Gysin-maps or wrong-way-maps. In any homology theory admitting orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms, the virtual fundamental class of a quasi-smooth derived scheme X can be defined using the orientation of the structure morphism $\pi_X\colon X \to \mathrm{pt}$. It is given by

$$[X]^{\mathrm{vir}} = \pi_X^*([1]).$$

This makes the virtual fundamental class a bit less virtual.

The main goal of this paper is to introduce $d\Omega_*$, the universal Borel–Moore functor of geometric type that has orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms. This theory will be *derived algebraic bordism*. In particular, by construction it will create the universal virtual fundamental classes. Following the ideas of Quillen [Qui71], given a derived scheme X, $d\Omega_*(X)$ is generated by symbols $[Y \xrightarrow{f} X]$ with Y quasi-smooth and f proper. Relations are given by identifying homotopy fibers of proper maps $W \to X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. As in the works of Levine–Morel [LM07] and Levine–Pandharipande [LP09], these relations do not suffice to obtain a workable theory in the algebraic setting, and we are forced to extend scalars to the Lazard ring and impose a formal group law for the first Chern class operator.

The main result of the present paper (Theorem 5.11) is an isomorphism between derived algebraic bordism, $d\Omega_*(X)$, and algebraic bordism, $\Omega_*(X)$, when working over a field of characteristic zero. This is the derived algebraic geometry analog of Spivak's [Spi10] and Joyce's [Joy12] results in derived differential geometry and d-manifolds, respectively. Using generators and relations as prescribed above, Joyce and Spivak define derived cobordism for any smooth manifold, and both are able to prove that derived cobordism agrees with classical cobordism. Our result is slightly stronger in that it shows for any quasi-projective derived scheme (including both singular and derived), the aforementioned isomorphism exists. This result provides a conceptual explanation why virtual fundamental classes exist. It implies that every quasi-smooth derived scheme of virtual dimension d is in fact bordant to a smooth scheme of dimension d.

For those acquainted with the proofs of Joyce and Spivak, we have the following rough analogies. The difficulty in applying the ideas of differential geometry to the algebraic case are directly related to the lack of algebraic deformations that ensure transverse intersections. This is circumvented by adding "homotopy zero loci".

differential geometric	algebro geometric
manifold	smooth scheme
derived manifold	quasi-smooth derived scheme
tubular neighborhood	deformation to the normal cone
Thom's transversality	Levine's moving lemma

Following ideas from [Man12], we introduce orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms in algebraic bordism. Using the tools summarized in the above table, we then prove a Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch result stating that these orientations are compatible with the orientations defined for derived algebraic bordism (Corollary 5.10). As a consequence, we obtain that the natural transformation

$$\vartheta_\Omega \colon d\Omega_* \longrightarrow \Omega_*$$

obtained from the universal property of $d\Omega_*$ is an isomorphism.

In particular, we obtain a new set of generators and relations for algebraic bordism. This new set of generators and relations comes for free with orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms. The orientation is simply given by the homotopy fiber product in the category of derived schemes. Since every local complete intersection morphism is a quasi-smooth morphism, we in particular obtain orientations for local complete intersection morphisms independent of any characteristic assumption on the base field. In contrast, the construction of orientations for local complete intersection morphisms using the generators and relations of Levine and Morel only works in characteristic zero, and is by far the most difficult part of the construction of algebraic bordism.

Of course, these advantages do not come for free. Using the tools presently available for derived schemes, it seems very difficult to prove any localization theorems for derived algebraic bordism. Such localization results are inevitable to prove essential results like the Projective Bundle Theorem or homotopy invariance. At present, we are only able to obtain these results in characteristic zero using the isomorphism between derived algebraic bordism and algebraic bordism introduced above.

Slightly altering the techniques used in this paper, the results here should extend to encompass Deligne-Mumford stacks and more general classes of schemes as well. The restriction to quasi-projectivity is related to needing a global embedding for tubular neighborhoods arguments to work. We suspect that one can circumvent this necessity. Further, it is our hope to remove the hypothesis that a (underived) divisor can be separated into its components using the formal group law. This is naturally true in any reasonable homology theory and should be rendered as unnecessary upon further investigation of $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariance of $d\Omega_*$. Both of these aspects will be done in future work.

We briefly review the contents of the paper. In Section 2 we introduce the abstract notion of an oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type with quasi-smooth pull-backs. We then define derived algebraic bordism in Section 3 and verify its basic properties. Section 4 contains the definition of quasi-smooth pull-backs in algebraic bordism. The main results are then presented in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Barbara Fantechi for explaining the virtual pull-backs of [Man12] and Gabriele Vezzosi for the suggestion of imposing the formal group law instead of trying to prove it exists, which the authors tried unsuccessfully for quite some time. We would also like to thank David Ben-Zvi for helpful comments on various drafts of this work.

Finally we would like to thank the Mathematical Institute of the University of Bonn for its hospitality, and the SFB/TR 45 'Periods, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties' of the DFG (German Research Foundation) for financial support.

Notation. We will work throughout over a base field k. Starting from Section 4, this field will be of characteristic zero.

For any scheme or derived scheme X we will denote by $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ the ∞-category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X introduced by Lurie in [Lur11a]. Roughly, objects of $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ correspond to (possibly unbounded) complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on X.

We have adopted using $\mathbb{L}$ for the Lazard ring and L_X for the cotangent complex of a scheme X.

In the text, various categories of schemes and derived schemes are encountered. We have used bold font with hopefully self-explanatory names for theses categories. For instance, $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ denotes the category of derived quasi-projective schemes over k, $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ denotes the category of smooth quasi-projective schemes over k and so forth.

Given the data of an effective Cartier divisor D on a scheme X, we do not distinguish between the Cartier divisor and the natural sub-scheme it generates. We let $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ be the associated line bundle on X, with the natural section implicit. We let $|D|$ denote the support of the Cartier divisor. This is the reduced sub-scheme of D.

Similar to Fulton's convention [Ful98, Convention 1.4], if $i\colon Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed embedding and $\alpha \in d\Omega_*(Y)$, when no confusion can arise we write $\alpha \in d\Omega_*(X)$ rather then $i_*\alpha$.

Throughout this text, $f\colon X \to Y$ is transverse to $g\colon Z \to Y$ if they are Tor-independent and $X \times_Y Z \to Z$ is smooth.

2. Oriented Borel–Moore functors on derived schemes

In this section we introduce oriented Borel–Moore functors on quasi-projective derived schemes which have quasi-smooth pullbacks. We believe this is the right setting for studying virtual fundamental classes, since for any quasi-projective quasi-smooth derived scheme X we can then define the virtual fundamental class via $\pi_X^*[1]$, where $\pi_X\colon X \to \mathrm{pt}$ is the structure morphism.

Following Levine–Morel [LM07], we first introduce oriented Borel–Moore functors with product. In these theories one has no control over the behavior of the first Chern class operator. We later pass to oriented Borel–Moore functors of geometric type. There the first Chern class satisfies a formal group law.

2.1. **Oriented Borel–Moore functors with product.**

The definition of an oriented Borel–Moore functor with product on derived schemes is an immediate generalization of the original definition of Levine and Morel in [LM07] for schemes. Let $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ denote the category of quasi-projective derived schemes over k. Let $\mathbf{dQPr}'_k$ denote the subcategory of $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ with proper morphisms.

Definition 2.1. An oriented Borel–Moore functor with product on $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ is given by:

(D1) An additive functor $A_*\colon \mathbf{dQPr}'_k \to \mathbf{Ab}_*$.

(D2) For each smooth morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ of pure relative dimension d, a homomorphism of graded abelian groups
$$f^*\colon A_*(Y) \longrightarrow A_{*+d}(X)$$

(D3) For each line bundle L on X, a homomorphism of graded abelian groups
$$c_1(L)\colon A_*(X) \longrightarrow A_{*-1}(X).$$

(D4) For each pair (X, Y) in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$, a bilinear graded pairing
$$\times\colon A_*(X) \times A_*(Y) \longrightarrow A(X \times Y)$$
which is commutative, associative, and admits a distinguished element $1 \in A_0(\mathrm{pt})$ as a unit.

These data are required to satisfy the following axioms:

(A1) Let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ be smooth morphisms in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ of pure relative dimension. Then
$$(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*.$$
Moreover, $\mathrm{id}_X^* = \mathrm{id}_{A_*(X)}$.

(A2) Let $f\colon X \to Z$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ be morphisms in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$, where f is proper and g is smooth of pure relative dimension. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y \arrow[r, "g"'] & Z \end{tikzcd}$$

be the resulting Cartesian square. Then

$$g^* f_* = f'_* g'^*.$$

(A3) Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be proper and let $L \to Y$ be a line bundle. Then

$$f_* \circ c_1(f^*L) = c_1(L) \circ f_*.$$

(A4) Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be smooth of pure relative dimension, and let $L \to Y$ be a line bundle. Then

$$c_1(f^*L) \circ f^* = f^* \circ c_1(L).$$

(A5) For all line bundles L and M on $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$ we have

$$c_1(L) \circ c_1(M) = c_1(M) \circ c_1(L).$$

If L and M are isomorphic as line bundles on X, then $c_1(L) = c_1(M)$ holds.

(A6) For proper morphisms f and g we have

$$\times \circ (f_* \times g_*) = (f \times g)_* \circ \times.$$

(A7) For smooth morphisms f and g we have

$$\times \circ (f^* \times g^*) = (f \times g)^* \circ \times.$$

(A8) For $X, Y \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$ and $L \to X$ a line bundle we have

$$(c_1(L)(\alpha)) \times \beta = c_1(p_1^*(L))(\alpha \times \beta).$$

Remark 2.2. It might seem surprising that in (A2) the square is only required to be Cartesian and not homotopy Cartesian. But in this case the Cartesian product is a homotopy fiber product since g is assumed to be smooth and thus flat.

The following Lemma follows immediately from the definitions.

Lemma 2.3. *An oriented Borel–Moore functor with product on* $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ *restricted to* $\mathbf{QPr}_k$ *is an Borel–Moore functor with product on* $\mathbf{QPr}_k$*.*

For a general oriented Borel–Moore functor one has no control over the behavior of the first Chern class operator. The next better behaved type of homology theory introduced by Morel and Levine is an oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type. For such a theory the first Chern class operator is controlled by a formal group law. Since the conditions only involve smooth schemes and a smooth derived scheme is always classical, the definition carries over immediately to derived schemes. We briefly recall the notion for the reader's convenience.

Recall that an *oriented Borel–Moore* R_**-functor with product* is an oriented Borel–Moore functor A_* equipped with a graded ring homomorphism $R_* \to A_*(k)$. Here R_* is graded unital commutative ring.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbb{L}_*$ be the Lazard ring, graded such that the universal formal group law has total degree -1. An *oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type* is an oriented Borel–Moore $\mathbb{L}_*$-functor A_* on $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ satisfying the following additional axioms:

(Dim) For a smooth scheme X and line bundles $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ on X with $r > \dim(X)$ we have
$$c_1(L_1) \circ \cdots \circ c_1(L_r)(1_X) = 0 \in A_*(X).$$

(Sect) For a smooth scheme X and a section $s\colon X \to L$ of a line bundle L on X transverse to the zero section, we have
$$c_1(L)(1_X) = i_*(1_Z),$$
where Z is the zero-set of s and $i\colon Z \to X$ is the inclusion.

(FGL) For a smooth scheme X and line bundles L, M on X, we have
$$F_A(c_1(L), c_1(M))(1_X) = c_1(L \otimes M)(1_X) \in A_*(X),$$
where F_A is the image of the universal formal group law on $\mathbb{L}$ under the homomorphism given by the $\mathbb{L}_*$-structure.

Remark 2.5. In [LM07], Borel–Moore functors satisfying more axioms than those of a functor of geometric type are considered. One of the axioms for a weak homology theory is a weak localization axiom closely related to the axiom (Sect) of a functor of geometric type:

(Loc) Let L be a line bundle on a scheme X admitting a section $s\colon X \to L$ which is transverse to zero. Let $i\colon Z \to X$ be the inclusion of the zero-set of s. Then the image of $c_1(L)\colon A_*(X) \to A_{*-1}(X)$ is contained in the image of $i_*\colon A_{*-1}(Z) \to A_{*-1}(X)$.

Again, the following lemma is immediate from the definitions.

Lemma 2.6. *An oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type on* $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ *restricted to* $\mathbf{QPr}_k$ *is an Borel–Moore functor of geometric type on* $\mathbf{QPr}_k$*.*

If, in addition to the axiom (Loc) of Remark 2.5, one requires the Projective Bundle Theorem, and an extended homotopy relation, then one obtains the definition of a *weak homology theory.* From there, one can further ask for pullback along locally complete intersection morphisms and certain cellular decomposition formulas to obtain a well behaved functor called a *Borel–Moore homology theory.* These notions have straightforward generalizations to quasi-projective derived schemes.

2.2. **Borel–Moore functors with quasi-smooth pull-backs.** To introduce virtual fundamental classes in an oriented Borel–Moore functor it is necessary to define orientations, i.e., pullbacks, for quasi-smooth morphisms. We first recall some basic notions on quasi-smooth morphisms.

Definition 2.7. A morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of derived schemes is *quasi-smooth* if f is locally of finite presentation and the relative cotangent complex $L_{X/Y}$ is of Tor-amplitude $\leqslant 1$.

Example 2.8. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a local complete intersection morphism of schemes. Then f is quasi-smooth.

Remark 2.9. Note that being locally of finite presentation as a morphism of derived schemes is in general a stronger condition than requiring the underlying morphism of schemes to be locally of finite presentation in the usual sense. For instance, being locally of finite presentation as morphism of derived schemes implies that the relative cotangent complex is perfect. This is only true for local complete intersection morphisms of classical schemes.

For any point $p\colon \operatorname{Spec} A \to X$ the above condition implies that $p^*L_{X/Y}$ is locally isomorphic to a two-term complex of vector bundles. This leads to the definition of virtual dimension.

Definition 2.10. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a quasi-smooth morphism, and let $p\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ be a k-point of X. Then the *virtual dimension of f at p* is defined as

$$\operatorname{virdim}(f, p) = H_0(p^*L_{X/Y}) - H_1(p^*L_{X/Y}).$$

Remark 2.11. The virtual dimension of f at $p \in X$ is a locally constant function.

For later uses we will introduce quasi-smooth morphisms that admit a factorization into a quasi-smooth embedding followed by a smooth morphism. In the case of local complete intersection morphisms of schemes this is often built into the definition. As a rule of thumb, in English texts a local complete intersection has a global factorization by definition, e.g., [Ful98, LM07], whereas in French texts this is an additional property, e.g., [DV76].

Definition 2.12. We say that a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of derived schemes is *smoothable* if it factors as closed embedding followed by smooth morphism. Such a factorization is called a *smoothing*.

Remark 2.13. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a quasi-smooth morphism, and let $X \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} M \xrightarrow{p} Y$ be a smoothing. It then follows that $i\colon X \hookrightarrow M$ is a quasi-smooth embedding.

Remark 2.14. Locally a smoothing exists for any quasi-smooth morphism.

Example 2.15. Any morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ with $X, Y \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$ admits a smoothing.

Once we have pull-backs along quasi-smooth morphism we will, in particular, have fundamental classes for local complete intersections. We need a normalization property for these fundamental classes to ensure compatibility with fundamental classes for local complete intersections in other homology theories. This normalization is automatically satisfied for Borel–Moore homology theories.

To state the desired normalization property, we first borrow notation from [LM07, §3.1]. Given a formal group law $F(u, v) \in R[[u, v]]$ for some commutative ring R there exists the *difference group law*

$$F^-(u, v) \in R[[u, v]].$$

If we let $\chi(u)$ denote the unique inverse power series satisfying $F(u, \chi(u)) = 0$, this difference group law is defined by the equation

$$F(u, v) = F^-(u, \chi(v)).$$

Often the suggestive notation $+_F$ is used in place of the formal group law and $-_F$ for the difference. Given an integer n, denote

$$[n]_F \cdot u := \begin{cases} u +_F \ldots +_F u & n \geqslant 0 \\ u -_F \ldots -_F u & n < 0 \end{cases}$$

where the operation is performed $|n|$ times, and given integers $n_1, \ldots, n_m$

$$F^{n_1,\ldots,n_m}(u_1, \ldots, u_m) := [n_1]_F \cdot u_1 +_F [n_2]_F \cdot u_2 \ldots +_F [n_m]_F \cdot u_m$$

One can guess many identities among the formal power series with this notation. For instance

$$(u +_F v) - (0 +_F v) = u$$

translates to the formal power series identity

$$F^-(F(u,v), F(0,v)) = u$$

used in Lemma 3.16.

Let E be a strict normal crossing divisor on a smooth scheme X with support $|E|$. Following [LM07], if A_* is any Borel–Moore functor with first Chern classes obeying a formal group law and having proper push-forwards (this included Borel–Moore functors of geometric type), there exists a class $[E \to |E|] \in A_*(|E|)$ defined as follows. Writing $E = \sum_{j=1}^m n_j E_j$ with each E_j integral, for any index $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$ with $||J|| \leqslant 1$[1] let $E^J := \cap_{i,j_i=1} E_i$ be the "J-th face" and $i^J : E^J \to |E|$ the natural inclusion. If $L_i = \mathcal{O}_X(E_i)$ and $L_i^J = (i^J)^* L_i$, define

$$[E \to |E|] = \sum_{J,||J|| \leqslant 1} i_*^J \left([F_J^{n_1,\ldots,n_m}(L_1^J, \ldots, L_m^J)] \right). \tag{1}$$

With the notation now set, we define the central notion of this section.

Definition 2.16. A *oriented Borel–Moore functor with quasi-smooth pullbacks* (also referred to as "with quasi-smooth orientations", or "with quasi-smooth Gysin-maps") on $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ consists of an oriented Borel–Moore functor A_* of geometric type equipped with:

(B1) For each equi-dimensional quasi-smooth morphism $f\colon Y \to X$ of relative virtual dimension d a homomorphism of graded abelian groups

$$f^*\colon A_*(X) \longrightarrow A_{*+d}(Y).$$

These pull-backs should satisfy the following axiom

(QS1) Let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ be quasi-smooth morphisms of pure relative virtual dimension. Then

$$(g \circ f)^* = f^* g^*.$$

---

[1] Here $||J|| := \mathrm{Sup}_i(j_i)$

(QS2) Let $f\colon X \to Z$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ be morphisms giving the homotopy Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y \arrow[r, "g"'] & Z \end{tikzcd}$$

with f proper and g quasi-smooth and virtually equi-dimensional. Then

$$g^* f_* = f'_* g'^*$$

(QS3) For quasi-smooth morphisms f and g we have

$$\times \circ (f^* \times g^*) = (f \times g)^* \circ \times.$$

(QS4) For any strict normal crossing divisor E in a smooth scheme X we have

$$\pi_E^*([1]) = (\zeta_E)_*([E \to |E|]).$$

Here $\pi_E\colon E \to \mathrm{pt}$ is the structure morphism of E, which is a local complete intersection morphism, and $\zeta_E : |E| \to E$ is the natural embedding.

Remark 2.17. The axiom (QS4) is likely equivalent to the Extended Homotopy Property. In particular, by [LM07, Chapter 7] it is satisfied by all Borel–Moore homology functors. As mentioned previously, it is directly related to the universal morphism from regular bordism commuting with locally complete intersection pullback (a priori, it only commutes with smooth pullback). Since we want our derived bordism group to extend bordism (it will in fact be an isomorphism), it is a requirement. This hypothesis will likely be relegated irrelevant with more investigation in properties of the "naive" derived bordism groups.

The pull-back f^* is called an orientation of the quasi-smooth morphism. In any oriented Borel–Moore functor with quasi-smooth pull-backs or orientations we can define virtual fundamental classes.

Definition 2.18. Let X be a quasi-projective quasi-smooth derived scheme, and let A_* be an oriented Borel–Moore functor with quasi-smooth pull-backs. Then the *virtual fundamental class* is defined as

$$\pi_X^*([1]) \in A_*(X).$$

Here $\pi_X\colon X \to \mathrm{pt}$ is the structure morphism, and π_X^* is quasi-smooth pull-back.

3. Derived algebraic bordism

In this section we define derived algebraic bordism by generators and relations. Derived algebraic bordism will turn out to be the universal oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type with orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms. Since a quasi-smooth morphism of schemes is a local complete intersection morphism, derived algebraic bordism has pull-backs for local complete intersection morphisms. Besides all the axioms necessary for an oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type, derived algebraic bordism additionally satisfies the axiom (Loc) of Remark 2.5, although we will not use this.

We begin with the generators of our theory.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a quasi-projective derived scheme over k. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(X)^+$ the free abelian group generated by proper morphisms

$$f\colon Y \longrightarrow X$$

where $Y \in \mathbf{QSm}_k$ is irreducible and of virtual dimension n. We will refer to elements of $\mathcal{M}_*(X)$ as *derived bordism cycles.*

We next introduce relations among the generators.

Definition 3.2. Let $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$, and denote by $p\colon X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the projection onto the second factor. Let $Y \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$ be quasi-smooth of pure virtual dimension, and let

$$g\colon Y \to X \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

be a proper morphism. We can then form the homotopy Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d, "g"'] & Y_\infty \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[d, "p"'] & X \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1 & \infty. \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The associated *homotopy fiber relation* then is

$$[Y_0 \to X] - [Y_\infty \to X].$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_*(X) \subset \mathcal{M}_*(X)^+$ be the subgroup generated by all homotopy fiber relations.

Remark 3.3. It follows from basic properties of homotopy fiber products that Y_0 and Y_∞ are quasi-smooth derived schemes without any assumptions on 0 and ∞ being regular values of $p \circ g$.

We can now define a naive version of derived algebraic bordism.

Definition 3.4. Let $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$. Then *naive derived algebraic bordism* is defined by

$$d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}(X) = \mathcal{M}_*(X)^+ / < \mathcal{R}_*(X) > .$$

Remark 3.5. Let $[Y \to X]$ and $[Y' \to X]$ be generators of $d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}(X)$ where Y is weakly equivalent to Y'. Using the homotopy fiber relation it follows that $[Y \to X] = [Y' \to X]$ in $d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}(X)$.

The functor $d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}$ already has some of the requisite structure of an oriented Borel–Moore functor. In particular, we can immediately define push-forward along proper maps and pullback along quasi-smooth morphisms (which includes smooth morphisms). Let $g\colon X \to X'$ be a proper map in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$. The map

$$g_*\colon \mathcal{M}_*(X)^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_*(X')^+$$

is given by

$$g_*([f\colon Y \to X]) = [g \circ f\colon Y \to X'].$$

It is immediate that this descends to a functorial push-forward

$$g_*\colon d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}(X) \to d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}(X').$$

Definition 3.6. Let $g\colon X \to X'$ be a quasi-smooth morphism between quasi-projective derived schemes. The *quasi-smooth pull-back*

$$g^*\colon \mathcal{M}_*(X')^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_*(X)^+$$

is given by

$$g^*([f\colon Y \to X']) = [Y \times^h_{X'} X \to X].$$

Again, it is immediate that this descends to a functorial pull-back

$$g^*\colon d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}(X') \longrightarrow d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}}(X).$$

Remark 3.7. In case g is smooth and thus flat, the usual fiber product is a homotopy fiber product and we arrive at

$$g^*([f\colon Y \to X']) = [Y \times_{X'} X \to X].$$

Since we have defined pull-backs for quasi-smooth morphisms we automatically have first Chern class operators.

Definition 3.8. Let $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$, L a line bundle on X, and $s_0\colon X \to L$ the zero-section. We can then define the first Chern class operator via

$$c_1(L)([Y \to X]) = s_0^*(s_0)_*([Y \to X]).$$

More generally, for any vector bundle $E \to X$ of rank r we define the *Euler class* or *top Chern class* as

$$c_r(L)([Y \to X]) = s_0^*(s_0)_*([Y \to X]).$$

Lemma 3.9. *Given a line bundle L on $X \in \mathbf{QPr}_k$ and any $s : X \to V$, define $c_1^s(L) : d\Omega_*(X) \to d\Omega_*(X)$ as $0^* s_*([Y \xrightarrow{f} X])$. Then $c_1^s(L) = c_1^{s_0}(L) = c_1(L)$.*

Proof. Let us first assume that L has two non-zero sections s and s'. Define $Z \to X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ to be the derived scheme

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}"] & Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[d, "\tilde{s}\circ(f\times id)"] \\
X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r, "s_0"] & L \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\tilde{s} = sx_0 + s'x_\infty$ and x_0, x_∞ are the Cartier divisors corresponding to 0 and ∞ (they are both sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$). It is clear that Z is quasi-smooth and that $\tilde{f}|_0 = c_1^s(L) \cap [Y \xrightarrow{f} X]$ and $\tilde{f}|_\infty = c_1^{s'}(L) \cap [Y \xrightarrow{f} X]$, thus proving the claim.

For the case that $s = s_0$, note that if $p\colon L \to X$ is the natural projection, then $p^*L \to L$ has two natural sections: the canonical section $\tilde{s}$ and p^*s'. These sections are both non-zero sections of the same line bundle and thus by above, we have the following diagram for any $[X \xrightarrow{f} Y]$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \times_X L \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[d] \\
Z' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[d] \\
X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r] & L \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r] & L \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The bottom morphisms are quasi-smooth, thus the quasi-smoothness of Y implies Y'' is quasi-smooth. We then have

$$[Y''|_0 \to X] = c_1^{s_0}(L) \cap [Y \to X]$$

and

$$[Y''|_\infty \to X] = c_1^{s'}(L) \cap [Y \to X],$$

and thus the desired bordism. □

To promote $d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}$ to an oriented Borel–Moore functor with product we define the external product by

$$\begin{aligned} \times \colon \mathcal{M}_*(X) \times \mathcal{M}_*(X') &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(X \times X') \\ [Y \to X] \times [Y' \to X'] &\longmapsto [Y \times_k Y' \to X \times_k X']. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly this descends to $d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}$.

Proposition 3.10. $d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}$ *is an oriented Borel–Moore functor with product.*

Proof. We have defined the projective push-forward, smooth pull-back, first Chern classes and the external product. The proof then is a long but simple check of the axioms. □

With these definitions, we can prove that $d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}$ partially satisfies the properties of an oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type.

Proposition 3.11. $d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}$ *satisfies the axiom (Sect).*

Proof. Let X be a smooth scheme, L a line bundle on X and $s\colon X \to L$ a section which is transverse to the zero-section. Since the first Chern class operator is independent of the choice of section, we can take $c_1(L)(1_X) = 0^* s_*(1_X)$, where $0\colon X \to L$ is the zero-section. Since the section is assumed to be transverse to zero, the first Chern class operator is then given by the Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & X \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i"'] & X \arrow[d, "s"] \\
X \arrow[r, "0"'] & L
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here Z is the zero-set of s. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(L)(1_X) &= 0^* s_*(1_X) = 0^*([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} X \xrightarrow{s} L]) \\ &= [Z \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} Z \xrightarrow{i} X] = i_*([Z \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} Z]) \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.12. In fact, replacing $[X \to X]$ with $[Y \to X]$ in the proof above shows that $d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}$ satisfies the axiom (Loc) of Remark 2.5.

Remark 3.13. Using the homotopy zero-set Proposition 3.11 is even true without the transverse to zero assumption.

This is as far as one can get by using the homotopy fiber relation. It seems impossible to show further properties of the first Chern class operator of Definition 3.8, e.g., that it satisfies a formal group law and the axiom (Dim). To go any further, one must artificially impose a formal group law. This requires that we first know c_1 acts nilpotently. Porting over the proof of a similar statement in [LP09], it is enough to show nilpotence of c_1 for globally generated bundles. In particular, once this is shown, it then is legal to impose the formal group law for globally generated bundles and we are then left to prove the axioms (FGL) and (Dim) hold for all line bundles.

Proposition 3.14. *Let X be a smooth scheme, $L_1, \dots, L_r$ globally generated bundles with $\dim(X) < r$. Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} c_1(L_i) = 0$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.11, $c_1(L_1) \circ c_1(L_2) \circ \ldots \circ c_1(L_r)(1_X)$ set-theoretically can be arranged to be the empty set. Any morphism factoring through the empty set is empty. □

We now impose the formal group law on these line bundles. Recall $\mathbb{L}_*$ denotes the Lazard ring, graded such that the universal formal group law has total degree -1. Define a new oriented Borel–Moore functor by

$$X \longmapsto \mathbb{L}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}(X).$$

Set for any smooth scheme X

$$\mathcal{R}_*^{\text{FGL}}(X) \subset \mathbb{L}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} d\Omega_*^{\text{naive}}(X)$$

to be the subset of elements of the form

$$F_{\mathbb{L}}(c_1(L), c_1(M))(1_X) - c_1(L \otimes M)(1_X)$$

for globally generated line bundles L, M on a smooth scheme X. Here $F_{\mathbb{L}}$ is the universal formal group law.

Recall from [LM07, Section 2.1.5] that given an oriented Borel–Moore functor with product A_* and a subset of homogeneous elements $\mathcal{R}_*(X) \subset A_*(X)$ compatible with the external product it is possible to define the quotient Borel–Moore homology functor $A_*/\mathcal{R}_*$.

Definition 3.15. Define *derived algebraic pre-bordism* as

$$d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{pre}} = d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{naive}} / < \mathcal{R}_*^{\mathrm{FGL}} > .$$

Using the notation for the formal group law set in the previous section, we now have to show that (Dim) and (FGL) hold for all line bundles. The proofs proceed exactly as in [LP09].

Lemma 3.16. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, L a line bundle on X and M a globally generated line bundle such that $L \otimes M$ is globally generated. Then*

$$c_1(L) = F_{\mathbb{L}}^-(c_1(L \otimes M), c_1(M)).$$

Proof. Follows from the power series identity

$$F^-(F(u,v), F(0,v)) = F^-(u,0) = u$$

□

Proposition 3.17. *$d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{pre}}$ satisfies the axiom Dim.*

Proof. Let X be a quasi-projective scheme, $L_1, \ldots L_r$ a sequence of line bundles with $r > \dim(X)$. By the previous lemma, we have

$$c_1(L_i) = F_{\mathbb{L}}^-(c_1(L_i \otimes M), c_1(M)).$$

where M is globally generated and chosen such that $L_i \otimes M$ is globally generated. The proof then is the same as [LP09, Lemma 9.3]. □

Proposition 3.18. *$d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{pre}}$ satisfies the axiom (FGL).*

Proof. Let X be a smooth scheme, and let L, M be line bundles on X. Choose globally generated bundles N_1, N_2 such that $L \otimes N_1$ and $L \otimes N_2$ are globally generated. The proof then follows from the formal power series identity

$$F^-\left(F(u_1,v_1), F(u_2,v_2)\right) = F\left(F^-(u_1,u_2), F^-(v_1,v_2)\right)$$

combined with Lemma 3.16 and the formal group law for globally generated bundles. □

Lastly, we ensure strict normal crossing divisors in a smooth scheme have the correct fundamental class. Set for any smooth scheme X and any strict normal crossing divisor E in X

$$\mathcal{R}_*^{\mathrm{SNC}}(E) \subset \Omega_*^{\mathrm{pre}}(E)$$

to be the subset of elements of the form

$$\pi_E^*([1]) - (\zeta_E)_*[E \to |E|].$$

Here $\pi_E \colon E \to \mathrm{pt}$ is the structure morphism of the support of E, $\zeta_E : |E| \to E$ is the natural closed embedding, and $[E \to |E|]$ is the class defined in (1).

Definition 3.19. Define *derived algebraic bordism* as

$$d\Omega_* = d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{pre}} / < \mathcal{R}_*^{SNC} > .$$

Remark 3.20. Instead of taking the axiomatic approach to obtaining a formal group law it should be possible to impose some form of the double point relations of [LP09] to obtain the formal group law and the correct fundamental class for strict normal crossing divisors in one step.

We are now ready to prove the universality of derived algebraic bordism.

Theorem 3.21. *$d\Omega_*$ is the universal oriented Borel–Moore functor with quasi-smooth pullbacks of geometric type.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.10, 3.11, 3.17 and 3.18 $d\Omega_*$ is an oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type and has orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms. The normalization property (QS4) is clear by construction. We are left to show universality. The proof of universality is the same as [Qui71, Proposition 1.10]. Let A_* be another oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type with quasi-smooth pullbacks. Then we can define a natural transformation

$$\vartheta_A \colon d\Omega_* \longrightarrow A_*$$

by

$$\vartheta_A([Y \xrightarrow{f} X]) = f_* \pi_Y^* [1].$$

Here as before $\pi_Y \colon Y \to \mathrm{pt}$ is the structure morphism of Y. The proof that ϑ is compatible with the structures of an oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type is straightforward. □

Corollary 3.22. *There is a canonical classifying morphism*

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega} \colon \Omega_* \longrightarrow d\Omega_*$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, $d\Omega_*$ restricted to $\mathbf{QPr}_k$ is an oriented Borel–Moore functor of geometric type. Since Ω_* is the universal Borel–Moore functor of geometric type, we obtain a natural transformation

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega} \colon \Omega_* \longrightarrow d\Omega_*$$

given by

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega}([Y \xrightarrow{f} X]) = f_* \pi_Y^* [1].$$

Here $\pi_Y \colon Y \to \mathrm{pt}$ is the structure morphism of Y, and the pull-back and push-forward morphisms on the right hand side are in $d\Omega_*$. □

Remark 3.23. Since the generators of $d\Omega_*(X)$ are given by maps $Y \to X$ with Y a quasi-smooth derived scheme, it is only possible to define the natural transformation ϑ in the proof of Theorem 3.21 for oriented Borel–Moore functors of geometric type that have quasi-smooth pull-backs. In particular, to construct an inverse to the natural transformation given in Corollary 3.22 we first have to construct quasi-smooth pull-backs in Ω_*. This will be done in the next section.

Remark 3.24. Further examples of oriented Borel–Moore functors with quasi-smooth pullbacks are discussed in [LS12]. There, using the quasi-smooth pull-backs defined by [Man12], Chow homology is extended to derived schemes. This theory has the additive formal group law. As another example, G-theory with quasi-smooth pull-backs is introduced. This carries the multiplicative formal group law.

4. QUASI-SMOOTH PULLBACKS IN ALGEBRAIC BORDISM

We now restrict to the case that k is of characteristic zero. We can extend Ω_* to an oriented Borel–More functor of geometric type on $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ using the same generators and relations as for classical schemes. We will still denote this functor by Ω_*. The homomorphism $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ of Corollary 3.22 extends to a morphism on $\mathbf{dQPr_k}$.

Given a derived quasi-projective scheme X, we then have the closed embedding of the underlying scheme $\iota_X \colon t_0(X) \hookrightarrow X$. In particular, this is a proper map and there exists a push-forward on associated bordism groups.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$. Then the inclusion of the classical part $\iota_X \colon t_0(X) \hookrightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\iota_{X*} \colon \Omega_*(t_0(X)) \longrightarrow \Omega_*(X).$$

Proof. This is clear, since the generators of $\Omega_*(X)$ are given by morphisms $f \colon Y \to X$ with Y smooth, and every such map factors through the truncation $t_0(X)$. □

This section is devoted to showing the extension Ω_* has orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms. It is possible to show this for any Borel–Moore homology theory that has intersections with pseudo-divisors defined (in such a way as to commute with smooth pull-back and proper push-forward) and satisfies a homotopy invariance property.

4.1. Background on quasi-smooth embeddings.

Let $f \colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth closed embedding. Using the truncation functor, we have a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{dSch}_k$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, hook, "f"] & Y \\
t_0(X) \arrow[u, hook, "\iota_X"] \arrow[r, hook, "t_0(f)"'] & t_0(Y) \arrow[u, hook, "\iota_Y"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have the following basic result.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f \colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth embedding of virtual co-dimension d. Then $\iota_X^* L_{X/Y}[-1]$ is a locally free sheaf of rank d on $t_0(X)$.*

Proof. Since f is quasi-smooth, $L_{X/Y}$ is perfect of Tor-amplitude $\leqslant 1$. Furthermore, since f is an embedding, $L_{X/Y}$ is in $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geqslant 1}$ (homological grading). It thus follows that $\iota_X^* L_{X/Y}[-1]$ is a locally free sheaf. The claim on the rank is clear from the definition of virtual co-dimension. □

Definition 4.3. Let $f \colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth embedding. Define the *virtual normal bundle* $N_X Y \to t_0(X)$ to be the geometric vector bundle corresponding to $\iota_X^* L_{X/Y}[-1]^\vee$.

We now compare the virtual normal bundle $N_X Y$ to the normal cone $C_X Y$ of the underlying embedding $t_0(f) \colon t_0(X) \hookrightarrow t_0(Y)$. Using the functoriality properties of the cotangent complex, we have a morphism

$$\iota_X^* L_{X/Y} \longrightarrow L_{t_0(X)/t_0(Y)}.$$

Let I be the ideal sheaf of $t_0(X)$ in $t_0(Y)$. It is a classical fact that $\pi_1(L_{t_0(X)/t_0(Y)}) = I/I^2$. We thus obtain a morphism

$$i\colon C_X Y \to N_X Y.$$

We have the following result which will be the basis for the construction of quasi-smooth pullbacks in Ω_*.

Lemma 4.4. *The morphism*

$$i\colon C_X Y \longrightarrow N_X Y$$

is a closed embedding.

Proof. The claim is local and will follow once it is shown that $j_X^* L_{X/Y} \longrightarrow I/I^2$ is surjective . Let $f\colon A \to B$ be a 0-connective morphism of simplicial commutative k-algebras. We then have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[d] \\
\pi_0 A \arrow[r] & \pi_0 B.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This gives the following diagram of cofiber sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_A \otimes_A \pi_0 B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_B \otimes_B \pi_0 B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_{B/A} \otimes_B \pi_0 B \arrow[d] \\
L_{\pi_0 A} \otimes_{\pi_0 A} \pi_0 B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_{\pi_0 B} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_{\pi_0 B/\pi_0 A} \arrow[d] \\
L_{\pi_0 A/A} \otimes_{\pi_0 A} \pi_0 B \arrow[r] & L_{\pi_0(B)/B} \arrow[r] & M.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have to show that $M \in \mathrm{QCoh}(\pi_0 B)_{\geqslant 2}$. Now recall that since $A \to \pi_0 A$ is 1-connective, $L_{\pi_0 A/A}$ is in $\mathrm{QCoh}(\pi_0 A)_{\geqslant 2}$. Likewise $L_{\pi_0 B/B}$ is 2-connective. Since M is the cofiber of 2-connective objects the claim follows. ☐

4.2. **Intersection with virtual effective Cartier divisors.**

Definition 4.5. Let $i_{\tilde{D}}\colon \tilde{D} \hookrightarrow X$ be a quasi-smooth embedding with X a scheme. Let $D = t_0(\tilde{D})$ be the underlying classical scheme and let $i_D\colon D \hookrightarrow X$ be the associated closed embedding. Then $\tilde{D}$ is a *virtual effective Cartier divisor* if $i_D\colon D \hookrightarrow X$ is an effective Cartier divisor.

Remark 4.6. Since a virtual effective Cartier divisor has a natural closed embedding $i_{\tilde{D}}$ we refer to the virtual dimension of $\tilde{D}$ as the virtual dimension of $i_{\tilde{D}}$, as defined in Definition 2.10.

By the results of the previous section, given a virtual effective Cartier divisor we have a virtual normal bundle N on D, and the normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)|_D$ is a sub-bundle of the virtual normal bundle. We can then define the *virtual excess bundle* by the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D)|_D \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow Q$$

The bundle $Q \to D$ will play the role of the excess intersection bundle in the case of a clean intersection in complex cobordism [Qui71].

Example 4.7. As an example of a virtual effective Cartier divisor consider the blow-up of $i\colon 0 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ and form the homotopy fiber product

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{E} \arrow[r, "\tilde{i}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{P}^2) \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r, "i"'] & \mathbb{P}^2
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the underlying scheme of $\tilde{E}$ is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up, and thus an effective Cartier divisor. Since the inclusion of 0 in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is a quasi-smooth morphism, $\tilde{i}\colon \tilde{E} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is also quasi-smooth and thus a virtual effective Cartier divisor of virtual codimension 2. The virtual pull-pack along $\tilde{i}$ is closely related to the refined pull-back $i^!$ of [Ful98], which is of degree -2.

Since over a field k of characteristic zero intersection with a pseudo-divisor is defined in [LM07, Chapter 6], we have a morphism

$$i^*_{D,red}\colon \Omega_*(X) \longrightarrow \Omega_{*-1}(|D|).$$

If $\zeta_D\colon |D| \to D$ is the natural embedding, we let $i^*_D := \zeta_{D*} \circ i^*_{D,red}$. We depart from the convention in [LM07] to align with the definitions in the previous section.

Combining i^*_D with intersection with pseudo-divisors and the virtual excess bundle we can define the intersection of a bordism cycle with a virtual effective Cartier divisor.

Definition 4.8. Let $\zeta_D\colon |D| \hookrightarrow D$ be the inclusion of the support of D, and let $\iota_{\tilde{D}}\colon D \to \tilde{D}$ denote the inclusion of the underlying scheme of $\tilde{D}$. Then *intersection with a virtual effective Cartier divisor* (with virtual dimension $-d$) is the composite

$$i^*_{\tilde{D}} = \iota_{\tilde{D}*} \circ c_{d-1}(Q) \circ i^*_D\colon \Omega_*(X) \longrightarrow \Omega_{*-d}(\tilde{D}).$$

4.3. **Quasi-smooth embeddings.** After having defined the intersection of a bordism cycle and an effective virtual Cartier divisor, we now move on to general quasi-smooth embeddings $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ using deformation to the normal cone. To minimize notation, we will often drop the canonical isomorphism $\iota_{X*}\colon \Omega_*(t_0(X)) \to \Omega_*(X)$ for a derived scheme X from the notation.

Let $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed embedding. Then the underlying morphism of schemes $t_0(f)$ is also a closed embedding, and we can form the deformation to the normal cone space $M_{t_0(X)}t_0(Y)$. In the following we will abbreviate this space to M. We can then form the homotopy fiber diagrams

(2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{E} \arrow[r, "l"] \arrow[d, "q"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "i_{\tilde{E}}"] & M|_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1} \arrow[r, "k"] \arrow[d, "\beta'"'] & M \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f_0"'] & X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r, "f\times\mathrm{id}"'] & Y \times \mathbb{P}^1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\beta\colon M \to Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the blow-up of $t_0(X) \times 0$, the underlying scheme E of $\tilde{E}$ is an effective Cartier divisor on M, and E is the projective completion of the normal cone of $t_0(X)$ in $t_0(Y)$.

If we now additionally assume that $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a quasi-smooth embedding of virtual codimension d, it follows that $\tilde{E}$ is an effective virtual Cartier divisor of virtual codimension d on M, which allows us to intersect bordism cycles on M with $\tilde{E}$.

The other crucial ingredient we need is that over a field of characteristic zero, we have the exact localization sequence

$$\Omega_*(|E|) \xrightarrow{i_*} \Omega_*(M) \xrightarrow{j^*} \Omega_*(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \backslash 0)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $i^* i_* = 0$, we thus obtain a morphism

$$s_{X/Y}\colon \Omega_*(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \backslash 0)) \longrightarrow \Omega_{*-1}(|E|).$$

Putting both ingredients together allows us to defined pull-backs along quasi-smooth morphisms.

Definition 4.9. Let $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth embedding with $Y \in \mathbf{QPr}_k$. We define quasi-smooth pullback as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\colon \Omega_*(Y) &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}^*} \Omega_{*+1}(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \backslash 0)) \xrightarrow{s_{X/Y}} \Omega_*(|E|) \\ &\xrightarrow{c_d(Q)} \Omega_{*-d}(|E|) \xrightarrow{t_0(q)_*} \Omega_{*-d}(t_0(X)) \xrightarrow{\iota_X} \Omega_{*-d}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.10. Once we have chosen a lifting $\tilde{u} \in \Omega_{*+1}(M)$ of $\mathrm{pr}^* u$ for $u \in \Omega_*(Y)$, quasi-smooth pull-back is given by $q_* i^*_{\tilde{E}}$. This relates the general quasi-smooth pull-back to the intersection with virtual effective Cartier divisors of the previous section.

We now relate this to an alternative definition which is closer to the definition of pull-backs for local complete intersection morphisms.

Denote by M° the open subset of the deformation to the normal cone space M obtained by removing the component $\mathrm{Bl}_{t_0(X)} t_0(Y)$ from the fiber over zero. The fiber over 0 of M° is $C_X Y$, the normal cone of $t_0(X)$ in $t_0(Y)$. This is an effective Cartier divisor on M°. Again using that $i^* i_* = 0$ for this divisor and the exact localization sequence for algebraic bordism, there is a specialization morphism

$$\sigma_{X/Y}\colon \Omega_*(Y) \longrightarrow \Omega_{*+1}(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \backslash 0)) \longrightarrow \Omega_*(C_X Y).$$

By Lemma 4.4, we have a closed immersion $j\colon C_X Y \hookrightarrow N_X Y$ of the normal cone of $t_0(X)$ in Y into the virtual normal bundle.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth embedding. Let $p\colon N_X Y \to t_0(X)$ be the projection map of the virtual normal bundle. Denote the inclusion of the classical part by $\iota_X\colon t_0(X) \to X$. Then using the above notation, we have*

$$f^*(u) = \iota_{X*} \circ (p^*)^{-1} \circ j_* \circ \sigma_{X/Y}(u).$$

for all $u \in d\Omega_*(Y)$.

Proof. Recall the following fact: Let $p\colon F \to X$ be a rank r vector bundle and $q\colon \mathbb{P}(F \oplus 1) \to X$ with universal quotient bundle ξ. Then $Q|_F \cong F$, and if $v \in d\Omega_*(\mathbb{P}(F \oplus 1))$, a standard consequence of the Projective Bundle Theorem is

$$(p^*)^{-1}(v|_F) = q_*(c_r(\xi) \cap v).$$

Let C be the normal cone of $t_0(X)$ in Y and N the virtual normal bundle (N is the vector bundle corresponding to $\iota_X^* L_{X/Y}^\vee[-1]$). We continue to use the notation from (2). Since the exceptional divisor of the deformation to the normal cone space is $E = \mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1)$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[rr, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "j"'] & & E \arrow[d, "\tilde{j}"] \\
N \arrow[rr, "\tilde{\alpha}"] \arrow[dr, "p"'] & & \mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1) \arrow[dl, "\tilde{q}"] \\
& X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let Q be the virtual excess bundle of $\tilde{E}$ in M. Using that $\tilde{E}$ is the homotopy fiber product of X and M over $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the fact that $L_{X/Y \times \mathbb{P}^1} = L_{X/Y} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X[1]$, we see that $L_{\tilde{E}/M} = q^* L_{X/Y} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}[1]$. Further, it is well known that $\mathcal{O}_M(E)|_E = \mathcal{O}_E$ thus by definition $Q = q^* \iota_X^* L_{X/Y}^\vee[1]$. If we let ξ denote the universal quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1)$, the statements above show $\tilde{j}^*\xi \cong Q$. Since $q = \tilde{q} \circ \tilde{j}$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
(p^*)^{-1} j_* \alpha^* v &= (p^*)^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}^* \tilde{j}_* v) \\
&= \tilde{q}_*(c_d(\xi) \cap \tilde{j}_* v) \\
&= \tilde{q}_* \tilde{j}_*(c_d(Q) \cap v) \\
&= q_*(c_d(Q) \cap v).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $v = s_{X/Y}(u)$ and noticing that $v|_C = \sigma_{X/Y}(u)$ and combining the above computation with the fact that intersection with divisors is compatible with restriction to open subsets, the claim follows. ☐

Remark 4.12. By Proposition 4.11, the pull-back for quasi-smooth embeddings differs from the pull-back for regular embeddings only by the inclusion of the normal cone into the virtual normal bundle.

The formula for quasi-smooth pull-backs given in Proposition 4.11 is the most convenient form to prove the expected properties of the orientations defined. The remainder of this section closely follows the construction of pull-backs for local complete intersections of Verdier [DV76]. We first recall some basic properties of the specialization homomorphism.

Lemma 4.13. *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, hook, "i'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "f"] \\
X \arrow[r, hook, "i"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a Cartesian diagram in $\mathbf{QPr}_k$ *with* i *a closed embedding. Let* $g\colon C_{X'}Y' \to C_X Y$ *be the induced morphism of normal cones.*

(a) Assume that f *in the above diagram is proper. Then*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(Y') \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X'/Y'}"] \arrow[d, "f_*"'] & \Omega_*(C_{X'}Y') \arrow[d, "g_*"'] \\
\Omega_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X/Y}"'] & \Omega_*(C_X Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

(b) Assume that f *in the above diagram is smooth of pure relative dimension* d*. Then*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X/Y}"] \arrow[d, "f^*"'] & \Omega_*(C_X Y) \arrow[d, "g^*"] \\
\Omega_{*+d}(Y') \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X'/Y'}"'] & \Omega_{*+d}(C_{X'}Y')
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. By functoriality of the deformation to the normal cone space we have a morphism $h\colon M^\circ_{X'/Y'} \to M^\circ_{X/Y}$ which induces $g\colon C_{X'}Y' \to C_X Y$ on the exceptional divisors.

Assume that f is proper. Intersection with a pseudo-divisor commutes with proper push-forward [LM07, Lemma 6.2.1], so the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(Y' \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \backslash 0)) \arrow[r, "\sigma^*_{X'/Y'}"] \arrow[d] & \Omega_*(C_{X'}Y') \arrow[d, "g_*"] \\
\Omega_*(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \backslash 0)) \arrow[r, "\sigma^*_{X/Y}"'] & \Omega_*(C_X Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, and the claim follows.

For the case that f is smooth, one can explicitly compute that $M^\circ_{X'/Y'} = Y' \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times_{Y \times \mathbb{P}^1} M^\circ_X Y$ and h is the natural projection. In particular, by base change, the morphism g is smooth. The proof now proceeds as above since intersection with a pseudo-divisor commutes with smooth pull-back [LM07, Lemma 6.2.1]. □

Remark 4.14. The previous Lemma 4.13 also admits an indirect proof. Assume there exists some Cartesian diagram such that the diagrams in (a) and (b) do not commute. Then one has a immediate contradictions to the functoriality properties of the refined pull-backs of Ω_* stated in [LM07, Proposition 6.6.3].

Lemma 4.15. *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, hook, "i'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "f"] \\
X \arrow[r, hook, "i"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a homotopy Cartesian diagram in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ *with* i *a quasi-smooth closed embedding.*

(a) Assume that in the above diagram f is proper. Then

$$i^* f_* = f'_* i'^*.$$

(b) Assume that in the above diagram f is smooth. Then

$$i'^* f^* = f'^* i^*.$$

Proof. Since the truncation functor t_0 takes homotopy Cartesian diagrams to Cartesian diagrams, we can apply Lemma 4.13 to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
t_0(X') \arrow[r, hook, "t_0(i')"] \arrow[d, "t_0(f')"'] & t_0(Y') \arrow[d, "t_0(f)"] \\
t_0(X) \arrow[r, hook, "t_0(i)"] & t_0(Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using basic functoriality properties of the cotangent complex we obtain a further commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{X'}Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"'] & N_{X'}Y' \arrow[d] \\
C_X Y \arrow[r] & N_X Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here g is the morphism of normal cones induced by base-change used in Lemma 4.13.

Now assume that f is proper. Combining Lemma 4.13 with the above diagram it follows that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(Y') \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X'/Y'}"] \arrow[d, "f_*"'] & \Omega_*(C_{X'/Y'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g_*"] & \Omega_*(N_{X'}Y') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega_*(X) \arrow[d, "f'_*"] \\
\Omega_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X/Y}"'] & \Omega_*(C_{X/Y}) \arrow[r] & \Omega_*(N_X Y) \arrow[r] & \Omega_*(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Since the composition of the horizontal morphisms is respectively i^* and i'^* the claim follows. The case of f smooth is analogous. □

Our next task is to verify functoriality of the orientation for quasi-smooth closed embeddings.

Proposition 4.16. *Let $X \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} Y \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} Z$ be quasi-smooth embeddings. Then*

$$(j \circ i)^* = i^* j^*$$

Proof. Let us first assume that $t_0(j)\colon t_0(Y) \hookrightarrow t_0(Z)$ is given by the embedding

$$t_0(Y) \hookrightarrow C_Y Z \hookrightarrow N_Y Z$$

of Y in the virtual normal bundle. Let $p\colon N_Y Z \to t_0(Y)$ be the projection. We have an isomorphism [Ful98, Proof of Theorem 6.5]

$$C_X(N_Y Z) \simeq C_X Y \times_{t_0(X)} (N_Y Z \times_{t_0(Y)} t_0(X))$$

inducing a morphism

$$q'\colon C_X(N_Y Z) \longrightarrow C_X Y.$$

Additionally assume that the virtual normal bundle $N_X Z$ splits as $N_Y Z \oplus N_X Y$, and let $q\colon N_X Y \oplus N_Y Z \to N_X Y$ be the projection, giving us a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_X(N_Y Z) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "q'"] & N_X Y \oplus N_Y Z \arrow[d, "q"'] \\
C_X Y \arrow[r] & N_X Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the lower horizontal morphism is the canonical inclusion of the normal cone in the virtual normal bundle. In this situation, we have an isomorphism of the open deformation to the normal cone spaces $M^\circ_{t_0(X)/N_Y Z} \cong M^\circ_{t_0(X)/t_0(Y)} \times_{t_0(Y)} N_Y Z$ compatible with the projections to $\mathbb{P}^1$ [DV76, Corollaire 2.18]. We thus obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X/Y}"] \arrow[d, "p^*"'] & \Omega_*(C_X Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "q'^*"'] & \Omega_*(N_X Y) \arrow[d, "q^*"'] \\
\Omega_{*+d}(Z) \arrow[r, "\sigma_{X/Z}"'] & \Omega_{*+d}(C_X Z) \arrow[r] & \Omega_{*+d}(N_X Y \oplus N_Y Z)
\end{tikzcd}$$

To prove our claim, since $j^* = (p^*)^{-1}$ it suffices to prove

$$(j \circ i)^* p^* = i^*.$$

Let $\pi\colon N_X Y \to X$ and $\rho\colon N_X Y \oplus N_Y Z \to X$ be the structure morphisms. Since $q^* \circ \pi^* = \rho^*$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to prove

$$(\pi \circ q)^* \circ (j \circ i)^* \circ p^* = q^* \circ \pi^* \circ i^*.$$

But this is immediate from the commutativity of the above diagram. The reduction of the general case to the special case treated here is a standard application of the double deformation space

$$M^\circ_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1 / M^\circ_{Y/Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

as in [Man12, Theorem 4.8] and [LM07, Theorem 6.6.5]. ☐

4.4. **Pull-backs for quasi-smooth morphisms.** After having defined orientations for quasi-smooth closed embeddings we now move on general quasi-smooth morphisms. Since we are only working with quasi-projective derived schemes a smoothing is always available.

Lemma 4.17. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a quasi-smooth morphism in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$. Assume that $f = p \circ i = p' \circ i'$ are two smoothings of f. Then*

$$i^* p^* = i'^* p'^*.$$

Proof. One quickly reduces to the case where $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a quasi-smooth embedding and one has a smoothing

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & P \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[ur, hook, "i"] \arrow[r, hook, "f"'] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We then have the Cartesian and homotopy Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P' \arrow[r, hook, "f'"] \arrow[d, shift left, "p'"] & P \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[u, shift left, "s"] \arrow[r, hook, "f"'] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $f' \circ s = i$. Since f' and i are quasi-smooth embeddings, it follows that the relative cotangent complex L_s of the morphism s is of Tor-dimension $\geqslant 1$. Furthermore, since $p' \circ s = \mathrm{id}$ and p' is smooth, we obtain that L_s is of Tor-dimension $\leqslant 1$. The morphism s is an embedding since f' and $i = f' \circ s$ are embeddings (in particular, f' is separated), and thus s is a quasi-smooth embedding. By Proposition 4.16, we have

$$i^* p^* = s^* {f'}^* p^*.$$

Using Lemma 4.15(a), the right hand side is equal to $s^* {p'}^* f^*$. Since $s^* {p'}^* = \mathrm{id}$, the claim follows. ☐

This allows us to define orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms in Ω_*.

Definition 4.18. Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a quasi-smooth morphism in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$, and let $X \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} P \overset{p}{\to} Y$ be a smoothing of f with p of relative dimension n and i of virtual codimension m. Let $d = n - m$. We then define *quasi-smooth pull-back* as the composition

$$f^* \colon \Omega_*(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} \Omega_{*+n}(P) \xrightarrow{i^*} \Omega_{*+d}(X).$$

Here i^* is the quasi-smooth pull-back for quasi-smooth embeddings of Definition 4.9.

Theorem 4.19. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Then Ω_* is an oriented Borel–Moore functor on $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ of geometric type with quasi-smooth pullbacks.*

Proof. It remains to verify the axioms of Definition 2.16. To prove functoriality and thus (QS1), choose smoothings as in [LM07, Remark 5.1.2] such that we obtain a ladder of smoothings

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & P_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P \arrow[d] \\
& Y \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & P_2 \arrow[d] \\
& & Z.
\end{tikzcd}$$

with the square Cartesian and homotopy Cartesian. To prove axiom (QS2), factor f as $p \circ i$. The statement then immediately follows from the individual statements for p and i. For p the statement is clear since p is smooth, for i this is Lemma 4.15(b). The proof of (QS3) is straight forward from the definitions. The axiom (QS4) is verified in [LM07, Proposition 7.2.2]. ☐

Since $d\Omega_*$ is the universal Borel–Moore functor with orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.20. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. We then obtain a classifying morphism*

$$\vartheta_{\Omega}\colon d\Omega_* \to \Omega_*.$$

Proof. This immediately follows from the universality property of $d\Omega_*$. □

Corollary 4.21. *For all $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$, the natural transformation*

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega}\colon \Omega_*(X) \longrightarrow d\Omega_*(X)$$

is injective.

Proof. We want to show that ϑ_{Ω} is a left inverse. To prevent confusion, in the following we will decorate pull-backs and push-forwards with the homology theory they are taken in. Let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a bordism cycle. Since ϑ_{Ω} commutes with proper push-forwards and smooth pull-backs we have

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_{\Omega} \circ \vartheta_{d\Omega}([Y \to X]) &= \vartheta_{\Omega}(f_*^{d\Omega}\pi_{Y,d\Omega}^*[1]) \\ &= f_*^{\Omega}\pi_{Y,\Omega}^*\vartheta_{\Omega}[1] \\ &= [Y \to X].\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Spivak's Theorem

We now have two Borel–Moore functors of geometric type on $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ at our disposal, derived algebraic bordism $d\Omega_*$ and algebraic bordism Ω_*. Both are equipped with orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms. We have also constructed natural transformations $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ and ϑ_{Ω} between these theories. The goal of this section is to compare how the orientations for quasi-smooth morphisms of these two theories interact. In the following we will prove a Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch type result stating that $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ in fact commutes with these orientations. As a direct consequence of this result we obtain an algebraic version of Spivak's theorem for derived cobordism in the differentiable setting.

The strategy for proving our Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch type result is the same as in the classical case treated in [DV76] or [Ful98]. We first check the compatibility of the orientations in specific settings, and later reduce the general case to the known setting.

A word on notation: In the following we will often encounter formulas involving pull-backs and push-forwards in different homology theories. Except in special cases, we have chosen not to decorate these operations with the homology theories they are taken in. We hope this does not lead to confusion.

5.1. Preliminaries on $d\Omega_*$.

We begin by reducing pull-back along a quasi-smooth embedding in $d\Omega_*$ to intersecting with a virtual effective Cartier divisor for special types of classes. The key ingredients in this step is again deformation to the normal cone. We continue to use the notation for the deformation to the normal cone space introduced in §4 Diagram (2). We denote by $j\colon Y \times \left(\mathbb{P}^1\backslash 0\right) \hookrightarrow M$ the open inclusion, and by $\mathrm{pr}\colon Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to Y$ the projection onto the first factor. Using this notation, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth embedding with Y a scheme, and let $u \in d\Omega_*(Y)$. Assume there exists a class $\tilde{u} \in d\Omega_{*+1}(M)$ such that $\mathrm{pr}^*(u)|_{\mathbb{P}^1\setminus 0} = j^*(\tilde{u})$. Then*

$$f^*(u) = q_*(i_{\tilde{E}^*})^*(\tilde{u}).$$

Proof. Observe that over the general fibre, $M \to Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the identity. Thus $\beta'_* k^* \tilde{u}$ gives the desired homotopy fibre relation. □

We need to compare our Euler classes with more traditionally defined Chern classes in cases of overlap. Recall from Corollary 4.21 that $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ is injective. We let $d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{cl}}$ denote the image of $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$. For any $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$, classes in $d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{cl}}$ are thus given by proper morphisms $[Y \to X]$ where Y is assumed to be smooth.

The injectivity of $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ allows us to define Chern class operators on $d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{cl}}$ as follows. With $X \in \mathbf{QPr}_k$, let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank $e+1$ with associated projective bundle $q\colon \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$. We denote the universal line bundle as $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and let ξ be its first Chern class operator on $d\Omega_*(\mathbb{P}(E))$. Composing with pull-back along q, we have operators

$$\phi_j := \xi^j \circ q^*\colon d\Omega_*(X) \longrightarrow d\Omega_{*+e-j}(X).$$

Let

$$\Phi\colon \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} d\Omega_{*-e+j}(X) \longrightarrow d\Omega_*(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

be the sum over the operators ϕ_j.

Lemma 5.2. *The morphism*

$$\Phi\colon \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} d\Omega^{\mathrm{cl}}_{*-e+j}(X) \longrightarrow d\Omega^{\mathrm{cl}}_*(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{cl}}$ is precisely the image of $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$, this follows from the fact that $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with smooth pull-back and first Chern class operators, and that the Projective Bundle Theorem holds in Ω_*. □

The following corollary reduces many questions about vector bundles to sums of line bundles via the splitting principle.

Corollary 5.3. *The morphism*

$$q^*\colon d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{cl}}(X) \longrightarrow d\Omega^{\mathrm{cl}}_{*+e}(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

is injective.

Using the method of [LM07, Section 4.1.7], as an immediate consequence of the Projective Bundle Theorem we obtain the existence of uniquely defined operators

$$\tilde{c}_i(E)\colon d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{cl}}(X) \longrightarrow d\Omega^{\mathrm{cl}}_{*-i}(X)$$

satisfying the relations

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{n-i} \circ q^* \circ \tilde{c}_i(E) = 0.$$

Note that by construction $c_1 = \tilde{c}_1$. These Chern classes satisfy all the expected properties, e.g., the Whitney product formula. In particular, if $E = \oplus_{i=0}^{e} L_i$ is a direct sum of line bundles, then the j-th Chern class of E is the j-th symmetric polynomial in the $c_1(L_i)$.

We show compatibility with the definition of the top Chern class given in Definition 3.8.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $X \in \mathbf{QPr}_k$, and let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank e. Then*

$$c_e(E) \cap u = \tilde{c}_e(E) \cap u$$

for all $u \in d\Omega_*^{\mathrm{cl}}(X)$.

Proof. Let $q\colon \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$ be the projection. By the injectivity of q^*, we can apply the splitting principle and assume that E is a direct sum of line bundles $\oplus_{i=1}^{e} L_i$. Then $\tilde{c}_e(E) = c_1(L_1) \circ \cdots \circ c_1(L_e)$ by the Whitney product formula.

We prove the claim by induction on rank. Let $u = [Y \to X]$. For $e = 1$ the claim holds by construction of the Chern classes. Unravelling the definitions, the left hand side is given by the homotopy fiber product

(3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[dd] & Y \arrow[d] \\
 & X \arrow[d, "s_0"'] \\
X \arrow[r, "s_0"'] & L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_e
\end{tikzcd}$$

By induction, the right hand side is given by the homotopy fiber product

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y'' \arrow[r] \arrow[ddd] & Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[dd] & Y \arrow[d] \\
 & & X \arrow[d, "s_0"] \\
 & X \arrow[r, "s_0"] \arrow[d, "s_0"'] & L_1 \oplus \dots L_{e-1} \\
X \arrow[r, "s_0"'] & L_e &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using the universal property of the homotopy fiber product (3), we obtain a morphism $Y'' \to Y'$. Since we have always used the zero-sections, this is an isomorphism on the underlying classical schemes. By a straight-forward calculation, it also induces an equivalence of the cotangent complexes. It thus is an equivalence. □

Finally, we will need several formulas concerning intersection with virtual effective Cartier divisors and effective Cartier divisors in $d\Omega_*$.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose $X \in \mathbf{dQPr_k}$ and $Z \to X$ is a "globally generated quasi-smooth embedding", that is to say, there exists a rank r vector bundle and section (V, s) such that $Z = X \times^h_{0,V,s} X$. If $Y \to X$ factors through Z, then $i_Z^*([Y \to X]) = c_r(V|_Z) \cap [Y \to Z]$.*

Proof. First, every square in the following diagram is a homotopy fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f'"] & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "s'"] & X \arrow[d, "s"] \\
X \arrow[r, "s_0"] & V
\end{tikzcd}$$

The upper square shows $i_Z^*[Y \xrightarrow{f} X] = [Y' \to Z]$ with $Y' = X \times^h_{s_0,V,s\circ f} Y$. Since f factors through Z, the commutativity of the bottom square shows the composite $s \circ f = s \circ i_Z \circ F^Z = s_0 \circ s' \circ f^Z$ where f^Z is the natural factorization morphism. Further, we have the natural commutative diagram with all squares homotopy Cartesian.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r, "g"] & V|_Z \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d] & V \arrow[d] \\
 & Z \arrow[r, "i_Z"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the middle left horizontal arrow is the composite morphism $g\colon Y \xrightarrow{f^Z} Z \xrightarrow{s_0^Z} i_Z^*(V)$. The above equality of morphisms ensures that $i' \circ g = s \circ f$, and thus the Y' are equivalent in the two diagrams. Since the upper left square defines $c_r(V|_Z) \cap [Y \to Z]$ we have the result.

□

Lemma 5.6. *Let $i_{\tilde D}\colon \tilde D \hookrightarrow X$ be a virtual effective Cartier divisor of virtual codimension d and X a scheme. Let $\iota_{\tilde D}\colon D \hookrightarrow \tilde D$ denote the natural closed embedding. Let $u = [Y \xrightarrow{f} X] \in d\Omega_*(X)$ be such that Y is smooth and f satisfies one of the following conditions:*

(a) The morphism f factors through D.
(b) The pull-back f^*D is a strict normal crossing divisor.

Then

$$i^*_{\tilde D} u = (\iota_{\tilde D})_* \circ c_{d-1}(Q) \circ i^*_D u.$$

Proof. First assume that $f\colon Y \to X$ factors through D. Then the left hand side is given by the homotopy fiber product

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[dd] & Y \arrow[d] \\
& D \arrow[d] \\
\tilde{D} \arrow[r] & X
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

Since $i_{\tilde{D}}$ is a quasi-smooth embedding, as constructed in [LS12], there exists a derived scheme $\widehat{D}$ and a quasi-smooth embedding $\widehat{D} \hookrightarrow M$. It is easy to show that when $Y \to X$ factors through $|D|$ there is a natural morphism $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ factoring through the natural morphism $|D| \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\widehat{Y} := \widehat{D} \times_M (Y \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. Composing the first projection with the natural morphism $\widehat{D} \to \tilde{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ yields a morphism of derived scheme $\widehat{Y} \to \tilde{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Both of these morphisms are projective, to the composite is projective. We thus have the diagram with all squares homotopy Cartesian.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{Y} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[d] \\
\widehat{D} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \\
\tilde{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since all of the derived schemes and morphisms are in $\mathbf{dQPr}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, given any $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$, we pullback to get the diagram (with Cartesian square)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{Y}_t \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d] \\
\widehat{X}_t \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M_t \\
\tilde{D} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This shows that $[\widehat{Y}_t \to \tilde{D}] = i^*_{\tilde{D}}[Y \to X]$ for $t \neq 0$. When $t = 0$, the properties of $\widehat{X}_t$ show that this diagram completes out to a diagram (again, with all squares Cartesian):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{Y}_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d] \\
\widehat{X}_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C_D X \arrow[d] \\
D \arrow[r] & N
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus, $\widehat{Y}_0$ is the homotopy zero locus of the natural map $Y \to N$. The quasi-smooth derived scheme $\widehat{Y}$ and morphism $\widehat{Y} \to \tilde{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ provide a bordism from the left side to $\iota_{\tilde{D}*}(c_d(N) \cap [Y \to D])$. However, the bundle N is in the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_D \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & Q \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and thus $c_d(N) = c_{d-1}(Q) \circ c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)|_D)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
i^*_{\tilde{D}}[Y \to X] &= \iota_{\tilde{D}*}(c_d(N) \cap [Y \to D]) \\
&= \iota_{\tilde{D}*}(c_{d-1}(Q) \cap c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)|_D) \cap [Y \to D]) \\
&= \iota_{\tilde{D}*}(c_{d-1}(Q) \cap i^*_D[Y \to X])
\end{aligned}$$

For the case that f^*D is a strict normal crossing divisor, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d] \\
D \arrow[r] & \tilde{D} \arrow[r] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

The normal crossing assumption means the outer diagram is Cartesian and homotopy Cartesian. Thus, $Y'' = t_0(Y')$ and the stability of quasi-smooth means that $Y' \to Y$ is a quasi-smooth embedding with Y smooth. We can perform the same operations as above and see that one naturally has a quasi-smooth derived scheme $\widehat{Y} \to Y' \times \mathbb{P}^1$ providing a bordism from $[Y' \xrightarrow{id} Y']$ to a scheme $[\widehat{Y}|_0 \to Y'' \to Y']$ fitting into the homotopy fiber diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{Y}|_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C_{Y''}Y \arrow[d] \\
Y'' \arrow[r] & N_{Y'/Y}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $N_{Y'/Y} := \iota^*_{Y'} T_{Y'/Y}[1]$. For reasons similar to above, the equivalence between homotopy degenerate loci and the top Chern classes implies if we let $Q_Y = N_{Y'/Y}/f^*\mathcal{O}(D)|_{Y''}$, then $[\widehat{Y}|_0 \to Y'] = \iota_{Y'*}(c_d(Q_Y) \cap i^*_{Y''}[Y \to Y])$. Applying f_* to all of these calculations and using that $i^*_{\tilde{D}} f_* = f'_* i^*_{Y'}$ and $i^*_{\tilde{D}} f_* = f''_* i^*_{Y''}$, we have the result. □

5.2. **A Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch result.** We next show that $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with Chern class operators and intersection with effective Cartier divisors.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $X \in \mathbf{QPr}_k$ and let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(X) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] \arrow[d, "c_p(E)\cap -"'] & d\Omega_*(X) \arrow[d, "c_p(E)\cap -"] \\
\Omega_{*-r}(X) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] & d\Omega_{*-r}(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Let $q\colon \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$ be the projection. Since q^* is injective both for Ω_* and $d\Omega^{\mathrm{cl}}_*$, we can apply the splitting principle and assume E is a direct sum of line bundles L_i. Then in both Ω_* and $d\Omega_*$, the operation $c_p(E)$ is the p-th symmetric polynomial in the $c_1(L_i)$. Since $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with first Chern classes, the claim follows. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $i_D\colon D \hookrightarrow X$ be an effective Cartier divisor on a scheme X, and let $\zeta_D\colon |D| \hookrightarrow D$ denote the inclusion of the support. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(X) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] \arrow[d, "i_D^*"'] & d\Omega_*(X) \arrow[d, "i_D^*"] \\
\Omega_{*-1}(D) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] & d\Omega_{*-1}(D)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

Proof. By Levine's moving lemma, it suffices to treat the cases of bordism cycles $f\colon Y \to X$ such that f factors through D or the fiber product of f and i_D is a strict normal crossing divisor of Y.

We first assume that f factors through D. Let $f^D\colon Y \to D$ be the induced morphism, i.e., $f = i_D \circ f^D$. By definition

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega} \circ i_D^* = \vartheta_{d\Omega}(f^D_*(c_1(f^*\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \cap 1_Y))$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.5

$$i_D^* \circ \vartheta_{d\Omega} = c_1(i_D^*\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \cap [Y \xrightarrow{f^D} D]$$

The claim follows by applying the projection formula (A3) of the axioms of Borel–Moore functor and observing that $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with proper push-forward and first Chern classes.

Let us now assume that $D' := D \times_X Y$ is a strict normal crossing divisor of Y. This implies that we have a Tor-independent diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D' \arrow[r, "i_{D'}"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\
D \arrow[r, "i_D"'] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this case,

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega} \circ i_D^* = \vartheta_{d\Omega}(f'_*(\zeta_{D*}([D' \to |D'|])))$$

Recall that the class $[D' \to |D'|]$ is not given by a morphism, but instead by equation (1). Since the above diagram is Tor-independent, it is a homotopy fiber diagram and thus

$$\begin{aligned} i_D^* \circ \vartheta_{d\Omega}([Y \to X]) &= i_D^* f_*(1_Y) \\ &= f'_* i_{D'}^* 1_Y \\ &= f'_* 1_{D'}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim then follows by applying the relation $\mathcal{R}_*^{\mathrm{SNC}}$ and observing that $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with proper push-forwards, first Chern classes and is compatible with the formal group law. □

Theorem 5.9. *Let $f\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth embedding of virtual codimension d in* $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$ *with Y a scheme. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Omega_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] \arrow[d, "f_\Omega^*"'] & d\Omega_*(Y) \arrow[d, "f_{d\Omega}^*"'] \\ \Omega_{*-d}(X) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] & d\Omega_{*-d}(X). \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. By construction, $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with proper push-forwards, smooth pull-backs, and first Chern classes. Lemma 5.7 implies it also commutes with Chern classes of vector bundles.

We first reduce to the case of intersections with effective virtual Cartier divisors. We use the notation of §4 Diagram (2). By Proposition 5.1 and Remark 4.10, $f^*u = q_* i_{\tilde{E}}^* \tilde{u}$ holds in both Ω_* and $d\Omega_*$. By the localization theorem for Ω_* a suitable $\tilde{u}$ exists. Since $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with proper push-forwards and since $\tilde{E}$ is an effective virtual Cartier divisor on M, it suffices to show that $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with quasi-smooth pull-back along effective virtual Cartier divisors.

Let $i_{\tilde{D}}\colon \tilde{D} \hookrightarrow X$ be a virtual effective Cartier divisor on a smooth scheme X. Let $\zeta_D\colon |D| \hookrightarrow D$ be the inclusion of the support, and $\iota_{\tilde{D}}\colon D \hookrightarrow \tilde{D}$ the inclusion of the underlying scheme. For a bordism cycle $[Y \to X] \in \Omega(X)$, by Levine's moving lemma we can assume $\vartheta_{d\Omega}([Y \to X])$ satisfies the requirements of Lemma 5.8. Thus,

$$i_{\tilde{D}}^* \circ \vartheta_{d\Omega}([Y \to X]) = \iota_{\tilde{D}*} \circ c_{d-1}(Q) \circ i_D^* \circ \vartheta_{d\Omega}([Y \to X])$$

On the other hand, by definition

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega} \circ i_{\tilde{D}}^*([Y \to X]) = \vartheta_{d\Omega} \circ \iota_{\tilde{D}*} \circ c_{d-1}(Q) \circ i_D^*([Y \to X]).$$

Since $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with proper push-forward and Chern classes, we are reduced to treating the case of pull-back along effective Cartier divisors, i.e., that

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega} \circ i_D^* = i_D^* \circ \vartheta_{d\Omega}.$$

This case is treated in Lemma 5.8. □

We can now use the previous theorem to obtain a Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch type result for the natural transformation $\theta_{d\Omega}$.

Corollary 5.10 (Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch for $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$)**.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a quasi-smooth morphism of relative virtual dimension d in $\mathbf{dQPr}_k$. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] \arrow[d, "f^*_{\Omega}"'] & d\Omega_*(Y) \arrow[d, "f^*_{d\Omega}"'] \\
\Omega_{*+d}(X) \arrow[r, "\vartheta_{d\Omega}"] & d\Omega_{*+d}(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Let $u = [V \xrightarrow{g} Y] \in \Omega_*(Y)$ be a bordism cycle. By definition, $u = g_* 1_V$ where $g\colon V \to Y$ is proper and V is smooth. By factoring f as a quasi-smooth embedding and a smooth morphism, we can assume f is an embedding (the case of f smooth is clear). We can now form the homotopy fiber product

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"'] & V \arrow[d, "g"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $f'\colon W \to V$ is a quasi-smooth embedding with V smooth. Thus Theorem 5.9 is applicable to f'. By Property (QS2) $f^*u = f^*g_*1_V = g'_*f'^*1_V$. Applying $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ to this equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_{d\Omega}(f^*u) &= \vartheta_{d\Omega}(g'_*f'^*1_V) \\
&= g'_*\vartheta_{d\Omega}(f'^*1_V) \\
&= g'_*f'^*\vartheta_{d\Omega}(1_V) \\
&= f^*g_*\vartheta_{d\Omega}(1_V) \\
&= f^*\vartheta_{d\Omega}(g_*1_V) \\
&= f^*\vartheta_{d\Omega}(u)
\end{aligned}$$

the result immediately follows. □

We are now able to deduce an algebraic version of Spivak's theorem from the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch Theorem for $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$.

Theorem 5.11 (Algebraic Spivak Theorem)**.** *For all $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$ the morphism*

$$\vartheta_{d\Omega}\colon \Omega_*(X) \longrightarrow d\Omega_*(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 4.21, $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ is a right inverse to ϑ_{Ω}. We have to show that it is also a left inverse. Let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a derived bordism cycle. By Corollary 5.10, $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ commutes with pull-backs along quasi-smooth morphisms. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_{d\Omega}\circ\vartheta_{\Omega}([Y\to X]) &= \vartheta_{d\Omega}(f_*^{\Omega}\pi_{Y,\Omega}^*[1])\\ &= f_*^{d\Omega}\vartheta_{d\Omega}(\pi_{Y,\Omega}^*[1])\\ &= f_*^{d\Omega}\pi_{Y,d\Omega}^*\vartheta_{d\Omega}([1])\\ &= [Y\to X].\end{aligned}$$

□

Since there exist non-trivial derived schemes of negative virtual dimension we by no means know a priori that $d\Omega_n(X) = 0$ for $n < 0$. This is immediately implied by the previous theorem.

Corollary 5.12. *Let $n < 0$. For all $X \in \mathbf{dQPr}_k$ we have*

$$d\Omega_n(X) = 0$$

Theorem 5.11 provides a geometric explanation why virtual fundamental classes exist for quasi-smooth derived schemes. It implies that every quasi-smooth projective derived scheme bords to a smooth projective scheme. We make this precise in the following corollary. We write $[Y]$ for the class $[Y \to \mathrm{pt}]$ in $d\Omega_*(k)$.

Corollary 5.13. *For every projective quasi-smooth derived scheme X of virtual dimension n there exists a smooth projective scheme Y of dimension n such that*

$$[Y] = [X] \in d\Omega_n(\mathrm{pt}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.11 the morphism $\vartheta_{d\Omega}\colon \Omega_n(\mathrm{pt}) \to d\Omega_n(\mathrm{pt})$ is an isomorphism. Since the image of $\vartheta_{d\Omega}$ consist of smooth projective schemes the claim follows. □

Remark 5.14. In differential geometry, the corresponding result of Theorem 5.11 admits a direct proof [Joy12, Spi10]. There every derived manifold in either the sense of Spivak or Joyce admits a global presentation as zero-set of a section of a vector bundle. Such a derived manifold can be made bordant to a manifold by arranging the section to be transverse.

Remark 5.15. By Theorem 5.11, over a field of characteristic zero, $d\Omega_*$ satisfies all the nice properties of Ω_*. In particular, it is an oriented Borel–Moore homology theory and thus satisfies the Projective Bundle Theorem. Also, $d\Omega_*(\mathrm{pt})$ is isomorphic to the Lazard ring.

References

[BF97] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):45–88, 1997.

[DV76] A. Douady and J.L. Verdier. *Séminaire de géométrie analytique*, volume 36-37 of *Astérisque.* Société mathématique de France, 1976.

[Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics].* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

[Joy12] D. Joyce. D-manifolds and d-orbifolds: a theory of derived differential geometry. *Available at http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/joyce/dmbook.pdf*, 2012.

[KKP03] Bumsig Kim, Andrew Kresch, and Tony Pantev. Functoriality in intersection theory and a conjecture of Cox, Katz, and Lee. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 179(1-2):127–136, 2003.

[LM07] M. Levine and F. Morel. *Algebraic cobordism.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[LP09] M. Levine and R. Pandharipande. Algebraic cobordism revisited. *Invent. Math.*, 176(1):63–130, 2009.

[LS12] P. Lowrey and T. Schürg. Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch for derived schemes. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2012, 1208.6325.

[LT98] Jun Li and Gang Tian. Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(1):119–174, 1998.

[Lur11a] Jacob Lurie. Quasi-coherent sheaves and tannaka duality theorems. *Available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/˜lurie/papers/DAG-VIII.pdf*, 2011.

[Lur11b] Jacob Lurie. Structured spaces. *Available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/˜lurie/papers/DAG-V.pdf*, 2011.

[Man12] Cristina Manolache. Virtual pull-backs. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 21(2):201–245, 2012.

[Qui71] Daniel Quillen. Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations. *Advances in Math.*, 7:29–56 (1971), 1971.

[Spi10] David I. Spivak. Derived smooth manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 153(1):55–128, 2010.

[TV08] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi. Homotopical algebraic geometry. II. Geometric stacks and applications. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 193(902):x+224, 2008.

Department of Mathematics, Middlesex College, The University of Western Ontario, London, On, Canada
E-mail address: `plowrey@uwo.ca`

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: `timo_schuerg@operamail.com`